

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
7 March 2002 (07.03.2002)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
WO 02/19743 A2

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>:

H04Q 7/00

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IQ, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US01/26901

(22) International Filing Date: 29 August 2001 (29.08.2001)

English

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

09/652,697

31 August 2000 (31.08.2000) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): INTEL CORPORATION [US/US]; 2200 Mission College Boulevard, Santa Clara, CA 95052 (US).

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): KITCHIN, Duncan [US/US]; 2465 NW 145th Avenue, Beaverton, OR 97006 (US).

(74) Agents: TROP, Timothy, N. et al.; Trop, Pruner & Hu, P.C., Suite 100, 8554 Katy Freeway, Houston, TX 77024 (US).


(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: MITIGATING INTERFERENCE BETWEEN WIRELESS SYSTEMS



WO 02/19743 A2

(57) Abstract: Two separate radio frequency networks may be operated within interference distance from one another in a way which mitigates the possibility of interference. Using received signal strength indicator data, the nature of the interference may be determined without actually demodulating the interfering signal. The timing of the interfering signal and its characteristic features may be determined. Using that information, together with the probability that any given slot will actually be occupied by an interfering transmission, a statistics package may be developed which gives an indication of the probability of a transmission from the interfering node at any given time. That package may be transmitted to other nodes in the same network. When a first node wishes to transmit information to a second node, the first node may analyze the statistics package received from the second node. The first node may thereby make a determination about when to actually initiate the transmission to the second node.

MITIGATING INTERFERENCE BETWEEN WIRELESS SYSTEMSBackground

This invention relates generally to wireless systems including wireless local area network devices.

Packet-based wireless local area network (LAN) devices 5 enable a plurality of clients to be coupled together with a server without the need for extensive wiring. The IEEE 802.11 family of standards (IEEE Standard 802.11 available from the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, New York, New York) describes a standard for wireless LAN 10 systems. It involves the use of either 2.4 GHz Industrial, Scientific and Medical (ISM) or 5 GHz communication frequency bands. These bands are minimally regulated and, as a result, other interfering wireless devices (that do not comply with the IEEE 802.11 standard) may be transmitting in 15 the same area in the same band.

As an example, within a given office that is utilizing a system compliant with the IEEE 802.11 standard, other individuals may utilize devices compliance with the Bluetooth specification (V.1.0, December 1, 1999) for 20 wireless devices. Like the IEEE 802.11 standard, the Bluetooth devices also operate in the 2.4 GHz ISM band.

Interference may result between the Bluetooth and packet-based wireless LAN devices. Generally, in the case of Bluetooth devices, their power output is relatively small 25 relative to the wireless LAN devices. However, a proximate Bluetooth device may adversely affect and interfere with the reception of a local wireless LAN device. Another device in the LAN may transmit to a local LAN device proximate a Bluetooth transmitter. The remote LAN transmitter may have 30 no idea that a lower power Bluetooth transmitter may also be transmitting. As a result, interference may occur which

varies depending on the receiver that is receiving the signal.

Proposals for mitigating the effects of interference between Bluetooth and packet-based wireless LANs operating in the same frequency band generally have relied upon frequency orthogonality. However, such techniques may be ineffective when the Bluetooth and wireless LAN devices are in close proximity which, of course, is when the interference is most substantial.

Thus, there is a need for a way to mitigate interference between wireless devices operating on different standards within the same frequency band. In addition, there is a need for a system that accommodates for the problems that arise when various devices in a wireless network are not aware that receivers in that network may be proximate to non-compliant transmitters operating within the same frequency band.

#### Brief Description of the Drawings

Figure 1 is a schematic depiction of one embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 2 is a block diagram of a portion of the mitigation module shown in Figure 1 in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 3 is a depiction of a statistics package format utilized to transmit information between nodes in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 4 is a block diagram for another component of the mitigation module shown in Figure 1 in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 5 shows a hypothetical statistics package waveform in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention and further illustrates how the statistics package

may be utilized to determine when to transmit information to a receiver in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 6 is a schematic depiction of a wireless LAN network with proximate Bluetooth transmitters in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention; and

Figure 7 is a flow chart for software in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention.

#### Detailed Description

10 Referring to Figure 1, a node 10 in a wireless local area network (LAN) may be positioned proximate to a Bluetooth piconet 11. The Bluetooth piconet 11 may operate in accordance with the Bluetooth specification. The wireless LAN node 10 may operate in accordance with one of the 15 wireless LAN standards such as the IEEE 802.11 standard. The node 10 and the piconet 11 may operate in the same frequency band such as the 2.4 GHz Industrial, Scientific and Medical (ISM) band which is minimally regulated. The node 10 includes a mitigation module 16 that is responsible for 20 mitigating potential interference between the Bluetooth piconet 11 (which is not part of the wireless LAN that includes the node 10) and the node 10 itself.

The wireless LAN node 10 also includes a physical layer 12 such as a modulator/demodulator or modem and a medium 25 access control unit (MAC) 14. The physical layer may receive a received signal strength indication (RSSI) signal from the physical layer 12. The RSSI signal is conventionally utilized in association with what is known as a channel access control.

30 The raw RSSI data, received from the physical layer 12, is also utilized by the mitigation module 16. The mitigation module 16 uses the RSSI data to detect

transmission of any devices that are not part of the LAN, such as transmission from a Bluetooth piconet. The mitigation module 16 subsequently develops statistics about the operation of such Bluetooth piconets. The statistics 5 may then be used to predict when any device in the Bluetooth piconet may be transmitting. This prediction information may then be utilized to modify the transmission time of a transmitter within the LAN to avoid transmitting when a potentially interfering Bluetooth piconet is more likely to 10 also be transmitting.

While Bluetooth and 802.11 embodiments are described, the present invention is not limited to such examples. Embodiments may be implemented to avoid interference between wireless transmitters in a variety of circumstances.

15 The statistical data developed by the mitigation module 16 is provided to the MAC 14. The MAC 14 then provides that information to other LAN network transmitters wirelessly coupled to the node 10. In addition, the MAC 14 may use data received from other nodes in the LAN network to 20 determine when to operate its own physical layer 12 in a transmission mode so as to reduce the likelihood of interfering with transmissions by Bluetooth piconets proximate to the internal wireless LAN receiver. Thus, the mitigation module 16 includes a statistics generating unit 25 18 and a collision probability estimator 44.

The Bluetooth specification compliant piconet 11 transmits data in regularly occurring bursts. These bursts may appear as relatively rectangular signal blocks that occur at regular intervals. Thus, in accordance with one 30 embodiment of the present invention, when the node 10 is neither sending or receiving wireless LAN signals, it is assumed that any background noise received by the antenna 15 is the result of a Bluetooth transmission signal. A

Bluetooth signal includes a telltale 625 microsecond repeat interval or pattern. Each 625 microsecond interval is called a "slot". The pattern of slot occupancy repeats with a period that is at most six slots and is always a factor of 5 six. However, any given slot may or may not be occupied with a transmission depending on the particular protocol utilized by the proximate Bluetooth piconet 11.

The Bluetooth piconet 11 transmits in recurring slots starting from a synchronization reference point. That is, 10 each 625 microsecond slot begins at a synchronization reference point. Information about the synchronization reference point, the slot occupancy probability, and the nature of the 625 microsecond transmission intervals may be collected over time. A probability may then be developed to 15 determine the likelihood of interference between a transmission received by the node 10 and the noise received from the Bluetooth piconet 11.

In accordance with one embodiment of the present invention, it is not necessary to actually demodulate the 20 RSSI data. This may be important in some embodiments because to do so may require that the node 10 include a Bluetooth compliant receiver. By identifying the Bluetooth signal and the background RSSI noise without demodulating the signal, sufficient information may be obtained, in some 25 embodiments, about the nature of the proximate Bluetooth transmitter to decrease the likelihood of interference.

As mentioned above, not all of the slots of a Bluetooth transmission may be occupied. Different Bluetooth protocols (such as HV1) may occupy or use different ones of the 30 recurring set of six slots. For example, the HV1 protocol transmits data in every other slot. Thus, that Bluetooth protocol sends bursts of data in alternating 625 microsecond

intervals with a six slot repeat. In general, the empty slots occur in a regular pattern in each six slot sequence.

By following the sequence of six slots, even without initially knowing which slot is the first slot of the 5 sequence, the node 10 can find the empty slots and can determine the periodicity of those empty slots.

The statistics generating unit 18 may sample the RSSI data received from the physical layer 12 at regular intervals. Since the slot is 625 microseconds in length, 10 advantageously the sample rate of the unit 18 is integrally dividable into 625. One such advantageous sampling rate is 25 microseconds. This rate may be sufficiently fine to locate the start and stop of Bluetooth transmission within a given slot without unreasonably increasing the design 15 requirements for the node 10.

The statistics generating unit 18, shown in Figure 2, includes an inhibit line 32 coupled to the MAC 14. When the MAC 14 is operating the physical layer 12 to transmit or receive data, the inhibit line 32 terminates the generation 20 of statistic packages. This inhibition avoids generating statistics packages when the data may be obscured by the ongoing receipt or transmission of wireless LAN data (not pursuant to the competing protocol such as the Bluetooth protocol). Therefore, the analysis may be simplified and 25 the results may be improved in some embodiments, by inhibiting the statistics package generation during intervals when the node 10 itself is either transmitting or receiving.

A synchronization estimate is achieved using an 30 integrator 20, an offset removal unit 22, a shift register 24, a Bluetooth slot pattern correlate 36 and a Bluetooth slot pattern correlate 40. The synchronization estimate is based on a known pattern that repeats with known

periodicity. The integrator 20 integrates the RSSI data over each sample interval and develops an average level for the RSSI data. The DC offset removal unit 22 takes the average measurements and resolves them to zero over an 5 extended time period. Thereby, the unit 22 removes any DC offset in the RSSI data.

The shift register 24 accumulates the integrated sample levels over a period of time. In one embodiment of the present invention, with a twenty-five microsecond sample 10 rate, the shift register 24 may be capable of storing twenty-five samples and re-circulating those samples. That is, in order to analyze the 625 microsecond slot pattern, successive sets of twenty-five samples are stored one on top of the other in the twenty-five locations within the shift 15 register 24. Periodically, data is shifted out of the shift register 24 to the Bluetooth slot pattern correlate 40.

The unit 18 likely begins its analysis at an indeterminate point within the sequence of slots transmitted by the Bluetooth piconet 11. That is, the unit 18 initially 20 has no way to know whether the slot it first receives happens to be the first slot in a sequence of six slots generated by the piconet 11. The correlate 40 finds the start point of the sequence of six slots. When the correlate 40 sees a peak in the data received from the shift 25 register 24, the correlate 40 knows where the Bluetooth transmission pattern starts. Thus, by progressively overlaying the data in the shift register 24 over a sufficient period of time, the start of the slot sequence 30 may be identified based on the time location of the peak level.

The correlate 36 determines whether there is a transmission in a given slot. The correlate 40 finds where each 625 microsecond slot is, averaged over time.

When the inhibit line 32 is active, the shift register 24 simply recycles or re-circulates without new input data to maintain synchronization with its previous analyses. Thus, data is shifted from the shift register 24 to the 5 accumulator 26 and then summed with new data in the summer 28 during non-inhibited operation. In inhibited operations, the data simply circulates back to the shift register 24 through the combiner 30 that has been operated by the inhibit line 32 signal to block new input data and to simply 10 circulate the current data residing in the shift register 24.

The slot occupancy estimation unit 38 coordinates the start of each slot and determines, based on the data from the magnitude and synchronization unit 42 and the correlate 15 36, where the slot begins using the local time base. The magnitude and synchronization unit 42 determines if there is any Bluetooth transmitter that has been recognized based on the RSSI data and determines if there is a peak in the data from the Bluetooth slot pattern correlate 40. The magnitude 20 and synchronization unit 42 tells the slot occupancy estimation unit 38 that a Bluetooth signal has been identified (or not) and provides a reference or start point for the first slot.

The estimation unit 38 then figures out if there is anything in each of the six slots. The output from the slot 25 occupancy estimation unit 38 may be of the format shown at 60 in Figure 5. It may in the form of high pulses 62 and low pulses 64 that provide estimated Bluetooth transmission probabilities at given times. This information is a compilation of the timing of the slots of the local 30 Bluetooth piconet 11 and the slot occupancy probability. Thus, the pulse 62 indicates a higher probability of a

Bluetooth transmission occurring while the pulse 64 indicates a lower probability.

The combination of data including a synchronization reference point and a slot occupancy probability estimation 5 function may be represented as condensed data set with which to estimate the probability of a future time frequency collision with a detected Bluetooth piconet. For example, this data may be compacted into a single 32-bit word that constitutes the statistics package communicated to one or 10 more other nodes in a wireless LAN network.

Referring to Figure 3, the 32-bit word, in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention, may include a six tuple containing six probability estimates of two bits each, one for each Bluetooth slot. In addition, the 32-bit 15 word may include a timing synchronization function (TSF) reference that provides time information that is correlated to the recognized time base within the wireless LAN network. The TSF data may, for example, be in accordance with the TSF standard set forth in the IEEE 802.11 specification. The 20 TSF reference may be the least significant bits from a TSF timer, divided by twenty-five at the start of the first slot.

By providing the statistics package in a compact format, the statistics package may be readily and 25 conveniently transmitted to all the nodes in a given network to advise them of the local conditions at each node. If each node transmits its own package during slack intervals, it is advantageous to provide the packages in a compact format to avoid any significant overall reduction of network 30 bandwidth.

Each node 10 mitigation module 16 may also include a collision probability estimator 44 (Figure 1). The estimator 44 receives the statistics package from a unit 38

of a node to which the node 10 intends to transmit data. Thus, in effect, the received statistics package provides information about the local interference conditions proximate to the intended recipient node.

5 The estimator 44 receives a transmit request 66, shown in Figure 5. The estimator 44 compares the transmit request 66 to the statistics package 60. It initiates a transmit holdoff signal 68 that causes the transmission of the transmit request 66 to be shifted in time to a time when the 10 probability of a collision is lower. Thus, if a request seeks a transmission at time 66 which would overlap with a higher probability pulse 62, the transmission may be held off so that at most it overlaps with a pulse 64 indicating a lower probability of an overlap with a Bluetooth piconet 15 transmission.

The estimator 44, shown in Figure 4, expands the data contained in the statistics package 60. Based on a timer, the estimator 44 knows what time it is. The estimator 44 takes the statistics package (such as the package 60 in 20 Figure 5) including the time data received from the local sample interval unit 52 and the local slot number 50 and maps that data against the current time. The sample interval unit 52 supplies the sample interval information (e.g., 25 microseconds). The local slot number 50 may 25 supply the slot interval (e.g., 625 microseconds). The global sample interval 54 aligns the statistics data to the correct time by calculating the time relative to the statistics package. Based on the current time, the probability estimator 44 determines the occupancy 30 probability for the next six Bluetooth slots.

The probability estimator 45 provides the ability to predict what a Bluetooth piconet 11 will do in the future based on the statistic package 60 developed from analyzing

the Bluetooth transmissions over a period of time. A collision probability calculator 48 receives the Bluetooth occupation probability estimation from the estimator 45 and the packet length for the packet intended to be transmitted by a node 10. This information may be provided in the transmit request 66. The wireless LAN node's intended transmit characteristics are expanded and compared over the next six slots and data for each slot is provided to the collision probability calculator 48. Thus, the calculator 48 receives slot by slot data from the occupation calculator 46 and slot by slot data from the estimator 45.

The output of the calculator 48 is provided to a threshold comparator 56. The comparator 56 compares the transmit request 66 to the estimated Bluetooth transmission probability indicated at 60 and determines whether to initiate a holdoff 68. The holdoff 68 moves the proposed transmission to a period of time of acceptably low collision probabilities.

A hypothetical local area network, shown in Figure 6, may include a node or transceiver 72, a node or transceiver 80 and a node or transceiver 76. In addition, a Bluetooth/LAN transceiver or access point 74 may also be included in the network. An access point is a bridge connected on one side of one network and on the other side to another network for forwarding packets between the two networks. In addition to the wireless local area network including transceivers 72, 74, 76 and 80, a plurality of Bluetooth piconets 70, 78 and 82 may be proximate to one or more of the transceivers 72 through 80. For example, the piconet 70 may have a range 70a which encompasses the transceiver 72. Likewise, the piconet 78 may have a range 78a that encompasses the access point 74 and the piconet 82 may have a range 82a that encompasses the transceiver 76.

In this example, the Bluetooth piconets 70, 78 and 82 may operate in the same frequency band as the wireless LAN transceivers 72, 74 and 76. Thus, the possibility of interference exists between a locally proximate Bluetooth piconet such as the piconet 70 and the transceiver 72. In contrast, the transceiver 80, which is not proximate to any of the Bluetooth piconets, may not have any Bluetooth interference problems.

The access point 74 may transmit data to the transceiver 72 as indicated in 84. However, the access point 74 may be far enough away from the Bluetooth piconet 70 that the access point 74 may have no way to directly determine that its transmission may be interfered with by the Bluetooth piconet 70.

Instead, each transceiver 72, 74, 76 and 80 of the wireless LAN network does its own local evaluation of any potential interferers. Thus, the transceiver 72 analyzes the transmission from the Bluetooth piconet 70 within the range 70a and prepares a statistics package. The statistics package developed by the transceiver 72 and particularly by its unit 18, may then be transmitted to the access point 74. In one embodiment of the present invention, a relatively compact transmission such as the 32-bit word illustrated in Figure 3, may be utilized.

Similarly, each node, such as the transceivers 72, 74, 76 and 80, transmits its statistics package information to all the other nodes in the wireless LAN network. As a result, any node wishing to transmit data to any other node can then take into account the local interference conditions with respect to the intended receiving station.

A transmitter, such as the access point 74, then uses a statistics package that it received from the transceiver 72 to time its transmission 84 to the transceiver 72. This is

done through the collision probability estimator 44 local to the access point 74. More particularly, the statistics package may be generated by a unit 18 in the transceiver 72 and transmitted to all of the other network nodes. The 5 collision probability estimator 44 in the access point 74 may use the statistics package from the transceiver 72 to make collision avoidance decisions and to control the timing of the transmission of data from the access point 74 to the transceiver 72.

10 Referring back to Figure 1, the MAC 14 may include a processor 110 and a storage 112 that stores interference mitigation software 90, in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention. The software 90 may control the operation of the mitigation module 16 itself including the 15 unit 18 and the estimator 44. In some embodiments of the present invention, that control may be implemented in software and in other embodiments, the control may be implemented in firmware or hardware. Similarly, the unit 18 and estimator 44 are illustrated as being implemented in 20 hardware but in other embodiments, they may be implemented in software.

Referring to Figure 7, the interference mitigation software 90 begins by preparing a local statistics package for any local Bluetooth piconet as indicated in block 92. 25 The statistics package is prepared in the unit 18. A check at diamond 94 determines whether an open channel exists. If an open channel exists, wherein no ongoing transmissions or receptions are occurring in a particular node 10, that node may transmit its local statistics package to all the other 30 nodes in a wireless LAN network as indicated in block 96.

When the transmission request is received at a node 10, as indicated in diamond 98, a statistics package that was previously received from the intended target receiver is

acquired as indicated in block 100. The collision avoidance calculation is implemented as indicated in block 102 using the estimator 44 for example.

5 A check at diamond 104 determines whether the collision probability threshold probability is exceeded. If so, the transmission is held off as indicated in block 106. When the transmission threshold is no longer exceeded, as determined in diamond 104, the data is transmitted as indicated in 108.

10 While the present invention has been described with respect to a limited number of embodiments, those skilled in the art will appreciate numerous modifications and variations therefrom. It is intended that the appended claims cover all such modifications and variations as fall within the true spirit and scope of this present invention.

15 What is claimed is:

1. A method comprising:
  - determining a characteristic of a local noise source at a first transceiver;
  - transmitting information about said local noise source to a second transceiver; and
  - using said information to control a wireless transmission from said second transceiver to said first transceiver.
2. The method of claim 1 wherein determining a characteristic includes determining a characteristic of a local noise source at a first network node and transmitting information about said local noise source to a second network node, and using said information to control a wireless transmission from said second network node to said first network node.
3. The method of claim 1 further including controlling transmissions from said second transceiver to reduce the probability of interference between said transmission and said local noise source.
- 20 4. The method of claim 1 wherein transmitting information about said local noise source includes transmitting information about the probability of a transmission occurring at a given time from said local noise source.
- 25 5. The method of claim 4 including delaying a transmission from said second transceiver to said first transceiver until the probability of interference with said local noise source is reduced.

6. The method of claim 1 wherein determining a characteristic of a local noise source includes identifying a characteristic of said local noise source without demodulating said local noise source.

5 7. The method of claim 6 wherein identifying a characteristic includes measuring a received signal strength, and identifying a periodicity in said noise source without demodulating said noise source.

10 8. The method of claim 1 wherein transmitting information includes transmitting a statistical model of said noise source to predict the future behavior of said noise source.

15 9. An article comprising a medium storing instructions that enable a processor-based system to: determine a characteristic of a local noise source at a first transceiver; transmit information about said local noise source to a second transceiver; and use said information to control a wireless 20 transmission from said second transceiver to said first transceiver.

25 10. The article of claim 9 further storing instructions that enable the processor-based system to control a transmission from said second transceiver to reduce the probability of interference between said transmission and said local noise source.

11. The article of claim 9 further storing instructions that enable a processor-based system to

transmit information about the probability of a transmission from said local noise source occurring at a given time.

12. A transceiver comprising:  
a module to determine a characteristic of a local  
5 noise source;  
a transmitter to transmit information about the local noise source; and  
a receiver that receives information about a local noise source remote to said transceiver to control a  
10 wireless transmissions from said transceiver.

13. The transceiver of claim 12 wherein said transceiver is a network node.

14. The transceiver of claim 12 including a received signal strength indication detector coupled to said module.

15 15. A method comprising:  
receiving a noise signal;  
identifying a characteristic in said noise signal  
without demodulating said signal; and  
using said characteristic to identify said noise  
20 signal.

16. The method of claim 15 wherein receiving a noise signal includes receiving a noise signal having a characteristic identifiable without demodulating said signal and using said characteristic to predict the behavior of  
25 said signal without demodulating said signal.

17. The method of claim 16 wherein identifying the characteristic includes identifying a time characteristic in said noise signal without demodulating said signal.

18. The method of claim 17 wherein identifying a characteristic includes identifying a periodicity in said noise signal and using said periodicity to predict the future behavior of said noise signal.

19. A device comprising:  
a receiver that receives a noise signal and  
10 identifies a characteristic in said noise signal without demodulating said signal; and  
a unit that uses said characteristic to identify said noise signal.

20. The device of claim 19 including a transmitter  
15 that controls transmissions to reduce the likelihood of interference at an intended transmission recipient.

21. The device of claim 19 wherein said receiver includes a circuit that develops a statistical estimation of the likelihood of the occurrence of the noise signal based  
20 on the nature of said characteristic.

22. A method comprising:  
receiving a noise signal having a characteristic  
identifiable without demodulating said signal; and  
using said characteristic to predict the behavior  
25 of said signal without demodulating said signal.

23. The method of claim 22 including receiving a slotted noise signal and determining the probability that a given slot is occupied.

24. The method of claim 22 wherein receiving a signal 5 having a characteristic includes receiving a signal having a time characteristic and using said time characteristic to predict the behavior of said signal at a future time.

25. A device comprising:

a receiver that identifies a noise signal without 10 demodulating said signal based on a characteristic of said noise signal; and

a unit that predicts the behavior of said signal based on said characteristic without demodulating said signal.

15 26. The device of claim 25 wherein said unit identifies a slotted noise signal and determines the probability that a given slot is occupied.

27. The device of claim 25 wherein said receiver develops a statistical package indicating the probability 20 that a noise signal will occur at a given time instance.

28. A method comprising:

measuring a received signal strength;

determining when a radio frequency device is actively transmitting or receiving; and

25 analyzing the received signal strength when the device is not actively transmitting or receiving.

29. The method of claim 28 including analyzing said received signal strength to determine a characteristic of a noise signal.

30. The method of claim 29 including using said 5 characteristic to predict the behavior of said noise signal without demodulating said signal.

1/7




FIG. 1

2/7




FIG. 2

3/7




FIG. 3

4/7




FIG. 4

5/7




FIG. 5

6/7




FIG. 6

7/7




FIG. 7

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau(43) International Publication Date  
7 March 2002 (07.03.2002)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
WO 02/019743 A3

(51) International Patent Classification?: H04L 12/56 (81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US01/26901 (84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(22) International Filing Date: 29 August 2001 (29.08.2001)

(25) Filing Language: English (26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data: 09/052,697 31 August 2000 (31.08.2000) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): INTEL CORPORATION [US/US]; 2200 Mission College Boulevard, Santa Clara, CA 95052 (US).

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): KITCHIN, Duncan [US/US]; 2465 NW 145th Avenue, Beaverton, OR 97006 (US).


(74) Agents: TROP, Timothy, N. et al.; Trop, Pruner & Hu, P.C., Suite 100, 8554 Katy Freeway, Houston, TX 77024 (US).

## Published:

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: MITIGATING INTERFERENCE BETWEEN WIRELESS SYSTEMS



WO 02/019743 A3

(57) Abstract: Two separate radio frequency networks may be operated within interference distance from one another in a way which mitigates the possibility of interference. Using received signal strength indicator data, the nature of the interference may be determined without actually demodulating the interfering signal. The timing of the interfering signal and its characteristic features may be determined. Using that information, together with the probability that any given slot will actually be occupied by an interfering transmission, a statistics package may be developed which gives an indication of the probability of a transmission from the interferer at any given time. That package may be transmitted to other nodes in the same network. When a first node wishes to transmit information to a second node, the first node may analyze the statistics package received from the second node. The first node may thereby make a determination about when to actually initiate the transmission to the second node.



**(88) Date of publication of the international search report:**

28 November 2002

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 01/26901

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
IPC 7 H04L12/56

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 H04B H04Q H04L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, INSPEC

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 649 303 A (HESS GARRY C ET AL) 15 July 1997 (1997-07-15)	1-4,6, 9-15,19, 20,28,29
Y	column 1, line 15 - line 40	5,7,8, 16-18, 21,30
	column 1, line 60 -column 2, line 13 column 3, line 42 -column 4, line 32 column 5, line 14 - line 37 column 5, line 64 -column 6, line 30 ---	
X	US 5 448 754 A (HO C M PETER ET AL) 5 September 1995 (1995-09-05)	22-27
Y	column 2, line 13 - line 46	7,8, 16-18, 21,30
	column 3, line 28 -column 4, line 8 ---	
	-/-	

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents :

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- \*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- \*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- \*&\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

Date of mailing of the international search report

16 September 2002

20/09/2002

Name and mailing address of the ISA  
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Heinrich, D

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 96 27246 A (MOTOROLA INC) 6 September 1996 (1996-09-06)  page 4, line 16 - line 28 page 5, line 19 -page 7, line 19 ----	1-4, 9, 12, 15, 19, 28
Y	HAARTSEN J C: "THE BLUETOOTH RADIO SYSTEM" IEEE PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS, IEEE COMMUNICATIONS SOCIETY, US, vol. 7, no. 1, February 2000 (2000-02), pages 28-36, XP000908653 ISSN: 1070-9916 page 29, left-hand column, line 35 -right-hand column, line 11 ----	5
A	HAARTSEN J: "BLUETOOTH - THE UNIVERSAL RADIO INTERFACE FOR AD HOC, WIRELESS CONNECTIVITY" ON - ERICSSON REVIEW, ERICSSON. STOCKHOLM, SE, no. 3, 1998, pages 110-117, XP000783249 ISSN: 0014-0171 page 112, right-hand column, line 18 - line 49 page 114, middle column, line 51 -right-hand column, line 27 ----	1-30
A	US 5 696 903 A (MAHANY RONALD L) 9 December 1997 (1997-12-09)  column 1, line 58 -column 2, line 67 column 4, line 55 -column 5, line 13 column 7, line 65 -column 8, line 5 column 27, line 17 - line 28 ----	1, 9, 12, 15, 19, 22, 25, 28

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 01/26901

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 5649303	A 15-07-1997	NONE		
US 5448754	A 05-09-1995	NONE		
WO 9627246	A 06-09-1996	US 5594946 A 14-01-1997		
		AU 678943 B2 12-06-1997		
		AU 5414796 A 18-09-1996		
		CA 2187326 A1 06-09-1996		
		EP 0758505 A1 19-02-1997		
		FI 964292 A 25-10-1996		
		IL 116868 A 12-03-1999		
		JP 9512694 T 16-12-1997		
		WO 9627246 A1 06-09-1996		
US 5696903	A 09-12-1997	AU 6987694 A 12-12-1994		
		WO 9427382 A1 24-11-1994		
		US 5657317 A 12-08-1997		
		US 5790536 A 04-08-1998		
		US 5949776 A 07-09-1999		
		CA 2162722 A1 24-11-1994		

**THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)**

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

**BLACK BORDERS**

**IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**

**FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**

**BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**

**SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**

**COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**

**GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**

**LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**

**REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**

**OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

**THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)**